# Closing the Gap between Sensor Networks and the Sensor Web

INPE / IfGI Research Workshop 2009 GIScience for Dynamic Environmental Sensors

**Arne Bröring** 



**ifgi** Institute for Geoinformatics University of Münster

### **Motivation**

### Sensor Networks are used for:

- Precision agriculture
- Wildlife tracking
- □ Early warning systems
- □ Hazard management
- □ ...

### Important.

Integration of sensors and spatio-temporal resources

### **Motivation**

### Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) can be used

Integration on application level

Building blocks for a Sensor Web

## **Motivation**

- Service interfaces & communication protocols
- Integration of sensors and SOA
- Core functionalities:
  Sensor discovery
  Sensor data access
  Sensor tasking
  Alerting & Notification
  (SAS / WNS)

see Botts et al. (2006)



### **Application Level**



#### Sensor Web Level (SWE)



**Sensor Network Level** 

## **OSIRIS** - Industrial Fire Scenario

(www.osiris-fp6.eu)



#### Hot fire training lab

photos: APS









### Problem

- Proprietary bridges
- Contrary to our aim: Interoperability
- Cumbersome and inefficient
- Extensive amount of adaption effort
- $\rightarrow$  Key cost-factor in large-scale systems

see Aberer et al. (2006)

### Problem

### **Gap:** Sensor Network - Sensor Web

□ Different protocols

Low-level data - high-Level information models

Missing:

Concepts & methods for sensor - service interaction

■ → Deficits hinder the Sensor Web to emerge

Aim:

### Elaborate methods to close the gap between Sensor Networks and Sensor Web



- 1. Identifying general interaction patterns
- 2. Analysis of infrastructure topologies
- 3. Integrate *Event Processing* methods

- 1. Identifying general interaction patterns
- Building blocks for:
  Event-driven, publish/subscribe systems

### Interactions:

- Sensor Registration
- Service Registration
- Asset Discovery
- Data Publication
- Sensor Tasking

- 2. Analysis of infrastructure topologies
- Examples: *Bus, P2P, Hub-and-Spoke, ...*?
- $\rightarrow$  Evaluation:
  - Technical functionalities
  - Performance
  - □ Scalability

#### Fat Sensor Bus



#### Thin Sensor Bus





### 3. Integrate *Event Processing* methods

- □ (Multi-) Sensor Fusion
- Combine multiple sources
- Improve information
  - (greater relevance, greater quality,...)
- □ Transform: low-level data → high-level information
  - see Luckham "The power of Events" (2005) or Wu et al. (2008)

Example Event:

#### **Trigger:**

temperature > 50°Cfrom Sensor  $S_{temp}$  in Room RANDPM10 > 0,001 mg/m3 (> 5 min)from Sensor  $S_{smoke}$  in Room R

#### Output:

Fire Event

#### Actions:

- $\rightarrow$  Trigger SPS to start fire sprinkler
- → Send alert via SAS
- $\rightarrow$  Insert Observation in SOS

## Research Questions (preliminary state)

#### □ How to close the gap between SN - SW?

Which interaction patterns exist on the intermediary level between sensors and sensor web services?

Where to do what kind of event processing? (In-network, intermediary layer, service level, application level)

### References

- ABERER K., M. HAUSWIRTH, A. SALEHI (2006): Middleware support for the Internet of Things. 5. GI/ITG KuVS Fachgespräch "Drahtlose Sensornetze", Universitt Stuttgart, 2006.
- BOTTS, M., G. PERCIVALL, C. REED & J. DAVIDSON (2006): OGC® Sensor Web Enablement: Overview And High Level Architecture. OGC White Paper. Open Geospatial Consortium: 06-046r2, Version: 2.0.

LUCKHAM, D. (2005): The Power of Events. Addison-Wesley, Boston, USA.

WU E., Y. DIAO, S. RIZVI (2006): High-Performance Complex Event Processing over Streams. SIGMOD 2006, June 27-29, 2006, Chicago, Illinois, USA

#### Thanks for your Attention!

### Questions?

Comments?

## **Increasing Information Content**



**Information Content**